# 2019-02-26 Agenda for Auckland University Research Presentation

**1.30pm – 4pm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (approx.)</th>
<th>Topic/research project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.30pm</strong></td>
<td><strong>Biosimilars</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How does positive framing impact on patient willingness to switch to a biosimilar? – Prof Keith Petrie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reducing nocebo response in response to a biosimilar switch – education about nocebo as an intervention (a possible joint UK-NZ project)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.15pm</strong></td>
<td><strong>Gout</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Changing the name of gout - perception of Māori and Pacific groups – Prof Nicola Dalbeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural Māori patients with gout: medication use, disability and stigma etc - Prof Nicola Dalbeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3pm</strong></td>
<td><strong>Generics/ Venlafaxine/generic switch</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does rebranding generics improve response? – Kate MacKrill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What factors are associated with side effect reporting following switch to generic venlafaxine? Kate MacKrill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The effect of print and TV media stories on nocebo response to venlafaxine switch - Kate MacKrill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does improving trust in pharmaceutical agencies improve acceptability and response to generics? – Prof Keith Petrie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4pm</strong></td>
<td><strong>Finish/general questions</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REQUEST TO FUNDER FOR CONTRACT VARIATION

Submitted for and on behalf of the University of Auckland by its authorised agent Auckland UniServices Limited, Level 10, 70 Symonds Street.

Email: contracts@auckland.ac.nz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funder Ref.</th>
<th>UOA Project No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funder</td>
<td>PHARMAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Investigator</td>
<td>Keith Petrie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Title</td>
<td>Psychological influences on the efficacy and side effects associated with generic medicines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Variation Request</td>
<td>Budget change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Funding Requested</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Requested End Date</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variation Request

Please explain the variation that is being requested and the rationale for it. Explain how the variation will benefit the project.

We wish to use unspent operating costs $15,538 for a short term research assistant on this project. The current post-doc is finishing on the project in December and taking up an academic position at Otago University.

A research fellow could be employed for approximately 2 months to work on finishing some other publications related to this grant. These are related specifically to the study on whether increasing trust in pharmaceutical agencies increases drug efficacy and reduces side effects.

Project Progress

Please describe the work completed to date and any work not completed as a result of the change in circumstances leading to this request.

Research work on Pharmac projects has included the following publications:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will this request change the intended outcomes or contracted objectives of the research project as a whole? If so, please provide some detail</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Changes</td>
<td>If the variation request requires additional funding, changes in FTEs or shifting funds from one category to another, please detail the amounts and which categories the funds are moving between.</td>
<td>$15,538 from unspent operating to salaries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensions</td>
<td>If the request is for a time extension please provide a revised timeline, particularly for major milestones.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advice to Funder</td>
<td>Has the proposed change or the circumstances leading to it previously been signalled to the funder via reporting or other communication?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics</td>
<td>Is ethics approval required for the proposed variation? If yes, please confirm the status of the ethics application.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hi Janet - I wanted to sound out an idea with you. Over the past few years we have been investigating whether explaining the nocebo effect to the public or patients is an effective way of reducing side effect reports after the introduction of a new treatment or intervention.
Hi Janet - I wanted to sound out an idea with you. Over the past few years we have been investigating whether explaining the nocebo effect to the public or patients is an effective way of reducing side effect reports after the introduction of a new treatment or intervention.

We have preliminary evidence from a study on wind turbine/noise infrasound that when participants received a nocebo explanation compared to a biological explanation it reduced side effects (study attached).

We have also recently finished a trial with a medical investigation (colonoscopy) at Auckland Hospital and have shown the nocebo explanation reduced symptoms and reduced high anxiety patients attributing symptoms to the procedure.

What we would like to do is to test whether this approach works in a drug switch to a generic. We were wondering if we could include such an approach with some upcoming switch where one group (say pts in North Island) were given extra information about the nocebo effect along with the fact that their meds were going to change. We could then look at relative rates of adverse events reported to CARM in the 2 groups.

Here is the information we used in the recent colonoscopy study we could modify this to suit a drug switch.

---

Nocebo effect: I want to tell you about what we call the nocebo effect, which is relevant to all the information I have given you about side effects. You may have heard about the placebo effect where people get positive benefit from a sugar pill just because they believe it is going to help them. Well, the nocebo effect is the opposite.

How it works: The nocebo effect can occur when we are told of potential side effects (e.g., nausea or stomach pain like I have just done). When we are warned about possible side effects then we become more attuned to these particular side effects and may start experiencing physical sensations that we may attribute to the procedure.

Examples: If it is a bit like when someone at your house suddenly gets sick after a meal and then you may start thinking whether you feel sick and maybe getting similar symptoms to what they may have.

Patient engagement: Have you ever had an experience like this? (Ask patient describe)

Summary and questions: As part of good medical practice, we have to tell you about the side effects that may occur after colonoscopy. But because of the nocebo effect sometimes just telling you about the side effects can cause you to focus on your noticed symptoms more and naturally think the treatment may be causing the symptoms.

---

I look forward to hearing from you.

Best wishes, Keith
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We have preliminary evidence from a study on wind turbine/noise infrasound that when participants received a nocebo explanation compared to a biological explanation it reduced side effects (study attached).

We have also recently finished a trial with a medical investigation (colonoscopy) at Auckland Hospital and have shown the nocebo explanation reduced symptoms and reduced high anxiety patients attributing symptoms to the procedure.

What we would like to do is to test whether this approach works in a drug switch to a generic. We were wondering if we could include such an approach with some upcoming switch where one group (say pts in North Island) were given extra information about the nocebo effect along with the fact that their meds were going to change. We could then look at relative rates of adverse events reported to CARM in the 2 groups.

Here is the information we used in the recent colonoscopy study we could modify this to suit a drug switch.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Best wishes, Keith
This Variation to Research Funding Agreement (“the Variation”) is made on ____________

between (1) Pharmaceutical Management Agency (Funder)

and (2) The University of Auckland (Researcher)

and varies the Research Funding Agreement dated 23 May 2013 (signed 7 June 2013), the Variation to Research Funding Agreement dated 19 March 2015 (signed 25 March 2015) and the Variation to Research Funding Agreement dated 20 June 2017 (signed 20 June 2017) (together forming “the Agreement”)

Introduction

A. The Researcher is currently undertaking a programme of Research in accordance with the Agreement as set out in the Proposals appended to the Agreement (the 2012 Proposal, the 2014 Proposal and the 2017 Proposal).

B. The Researcher wishes to carry out further Research in relation to a change in the funded brand of the pharmaceutical lamotrigine, which is being changed to a generic. A summary of the further Research request has been attached at Appendix 1 (Request).

C. The objectives of the further Research shall for example identify factors associated with patient acceptance to this brand change and establish whether an internet delivered intervention can mitigate the issues associated with brand changes in comparison to a notification of the brand change.

D. The substantive terms of the further Research shall be set out in a proposal, which will form part of the Agreement (the 2019 Proposal) and the Funder agrees to fund this additional Research on the terms and conditions set out in the Agreement, the Variation and the 2019 Proposal.

E. Unless varied by the Variation, the terms and conditions of the Agreement will continue to govern the ongoing Research (including those relating to reporting). Terms used in the Variation have the same meaning as in the Agreement unless the context otherwise requires.

It is agreed

1. Amendment to Term of Agreement

Clause 2 of the Agreement is amended by deleting the end date “30 June 2019” and replacing it with 30 September 2020.

2. Additional Services

Clause 3.2 of the Agreement is amended by the addition of the following further services:

(j) carry out the Research in accordance with the 2019 Proposal.
3. **Amendment to Financial Terms and Conditions**

Clause 8.1 shall be amended by adding the following text immediately following the existing text:

Following execution of the Variation and subject to the Funder’s approval in writing of the 2019 Proposal, the Funder will pay the Researcher a total of $72,683 plus GST on receipt of a valid tax invoice from the Researcher.

For the avoidance of doubt no invoice shall be issued by the Researcher to the Funder and no payment shall be made by the Funder to the Researcher under the terms of the Variation until the 2019 Proposal has been approved by the Funder in writing.

4. **Additional Proposal**

The 2019 Proposal shall be deemed to form part of the Agreement. The Funder and Researcher shall negotiate in good faith the terms of the 2019 Proposal.

5. **Entire Agreement**

For the avoidance of doubt the Request has been attached to the Variation to provide a summary to the Funder and the Researcher and shall not constitute any agreement between the Funder and the Researcher.

The agreement between the Funder and the Researcher shall be the terms set out in the Agreement, the Variation and the 2019 Proposal (Contract Documents).

The Contract Documents shall be the entire agreement between the parties regarding its subject matter, and supersedes and extinguishes all prior agreements, understandings and negotiations between the parties regarding its subject matter.
Execution

Executed as an agreement

On behalf of Pharmaceutical Management Agency by:

____________________
Sarah Fitt
Chief Executive

Date:

On behalf of the University of Auckland by:

____________________
Name:
Position:
Date:
Appendix 1 – Request to Funder for Contract Variation
From: Adam McRae <>
Sent: Monday, 20 May 2019 8:38 AM
To: Tegan Evans <>
Cc: Keith Petrie 
Subject: RE: Contract variation request - Psychological influences on the efficacy and side effects associated with generic medicines (3704219)

Dear Tegan

Just wanted to briefly introduce myself. I have recently joined the Implementation Programmes team here at PHARMAC and will have responsibility for this piece of work.

To close the loop, the research proposal has been agreed (copy attached for your records). Accordingly UniServices are able raise an invoice in line with the contract variation.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Kind regards

Adam

Adam McRae | Senior Implementation Lead
___________________________________________________________________
PHARMAC | PO Box 10 254 | Level 9, 40 Mercer Street, Wellington
DDI: | P: +64 4 460 4990 | F: +64 4 460 4995 | w w w .pharmac.govt.nz

---

From: Tegan Evans <>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 10:11 AM
To: Janet Mackay <>
Cc: Keith Petrie <>
Subject: RE: Contract variation request - Psychological influences on the efficacy and side effects associated with generic medicines (3704219)

Hi Janet,

Thank you for the update, we look forward to hearing from you next week.

Let me know if you need any further information.

Hope you have a lovely weekend as well.

Kind regards,

Tegan

Tegan Evans | Contracts Manager
BSc MSc | T +64 9 373 7522 | tegan.evans@auckland.ac.nz | www.uniservices.co.nz

---

From: Janet Mackay <>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 9:45 AM
To: Tegan Evans <>
Cc: Keith Petrie <>
Subject: RE: Contract variation request - Psychological influences on the efficacy and side effects associated with generic medicines (3704219)

Kia ora Tegan,

Just an update from us on this contract variation. We are just following the internal processes for signing this off and, given the total value of the contract (based on the original grant with this amendment) it will need to be approved by our Chief Executive.

We don’t anticipate this will be an issue, but just adds another step to the process. We will be letting the offer be completed before Easter, i.e. Thursday next week, but will keep you updated if this is delayed.

Have a great weekend.

Ngā mihi,

Janet

Janet Mackay | Manager, Implementation Programmes
___________________________________________________________________
PHARMAC | PO Box 10 254 | Level 9, 40 Mercer Street, Wellington
DDI: | P: +64 4 460 4990 | F: +64 4 460 4995 | w w w .pharmac.govt.nz

---

Disclaimer:
This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

**********************************************************************
This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager.
This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept by MIMEsweeper for the presence of computer viruses.
www.clearswift.com
**********************************************************************

---

Auckland UniServices Ltd.,
Level 10, 49 Symonds St, Auckland, New Zealand
c/- The University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019,
Victoria St West, Auckland 1142, New Zealand
(This is a computer generated letter. No signature required.)

UAHPEC Administrators
University of Auckland Human Participants Ethics Committee

c.c. Head of Department / School, Psychological Medicine
   Kate MacKrill
REQUEST TO FUNDER FOR CONTRACT VARIATION

Submitted for and on behalf of the University of Auckland by its authorised agent Auckland UniServices Limited, Level 10, 70 Symonds Street.
Email: contracts@auckland.ac.nz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funder Ref.</th>
<th>AWD-3704219</th>
<th>UOA Project No.</th>
<th>3704219</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funder</td>
<td>Pharmac NZ</td>
<td>Funder Scheme</td>
<td>Pharmac Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Investigator</td>
<td>Prof Keith J Petrie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Title</td>
<td>Psychological influences on the efficacy and side effects associated with generic medicines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Variation Request</td>
<td>Extension of time and funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Funding Requested</td>
<td>Yes – additional $72,683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Requested End Date</td>
<td>Yes – extension until 30/09/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please describe the work completed to date and any work not completed as a result of the change in circumstances leading to this request

This project has already achieved a number of important findings and this has resulted in several publications and has been disseminated in several academic conferences and to Pharmac NZ audiences.


MacKrill, K., & Petrie, K.J. (2018). What is associated with increased side effects and
| **Budget Changes** | lower perceived efficacy following switching to a generic medicine? A New Zealand cross-sectional patient survey. BMJ Open, 8:e023667. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023667  
| **Extensions** | If the variation request requires additional funding, changes in FTEs or shifting funds from one category to another, please detail the amounts and which categories the funds are moving between.  
The change only has additional funds and does not alter existing categories |
| **Advice to Funder** | If the request is for a time extension please provide a revised timeline, particularly for major milestones.  
Current studies are completed or almost complete. This extension to focus on this upcoming drug switch will be finished and written up for publication and final report by 30/09/2020. |
| **Ethics** | Has the proposed change or the circumstances leading to it previously been signalled to the funder via reporting or other communication?  
Yes – The University has discussed the budget change and extension with Pharmac (Janet MacKay, Senior Manager Implementation)  
Is ethics approval required for the proposed variation? If yes, please confirm the status of the ethics application.  
Ethics is approved. |
Approved!

Keith J. Petrie
Professor of Health Psychology

Department of Psychological Medicine
University of Auckland, New Zealand
Approved!

_________________________________
Keith J. Petrie
Professor of Health Psychology
Webpage and download NEW publications
Twitter: KeithPetrie

Department of Psychological Medicine
University of Auckland, New Zealand
+64 9 923 6564

Begin forwarded message:
From: "Secretary UAHPEC" <humanethics@auckland.ac.nz>
Subject: (Ref 022873) Ethics Application
Date: 15 April 2019 at 4:00:35 PM NZST
To: "Prof Keith J Petrie" <kj.petrie@auckland.ac.nz>
Cc: "Kate M MacKrill" <k.mackrill@auckland.ac.nz>, "Prof Sally N Merry" <s.merry@auckland.ac.nz>, "Prof Phillippa J Poole" <p.poole@auckland.ac.nz>
Reply-To: "Secretary UAHPEC" <humanethics@auckland.ac.nz>

Please find attached the letter of UAHPEC review outcome for your ethics application. Please quote the reference number on all documentation and queries to the Committee and participants.

Please note: This automatic notification and the letter will not be sent to non-University research team members or Honours and fourth year students via InfoEd. Please ensure that the relevant correspondence is passed on to the researchers/students listed on your ethics application. Thank you for your assistance with this matter.
Study 1: Lamotrigine Patient Survey

Lamictal, Arrow-Lamotrigine and Logem are three brands of the same medication lamotrigine and have been approved by Medsafe NZ as being bioequivalent. However, changing medicine brands, regardless of bioequivalence, can be a problematic process. Patients often prefer their original brand and can hold negative expectations of the new medication, resulting in perceptions that the drug does not as well and has more side effects than the original (Faasse, Cundy, Gamble, & Petrie, 2013), although pharmacologically that is unlikely to be the case (MacKrill & Petrie, 2019).

Research has also shown that patients being treated for psychological conditions are more likely to experience a nocebo effect following a medicine brand change (Weissenfeld, Stock, Lüngen, & Gerber, 2010).

This study aims to understand patients’ perceptions of their new brand Logem, experiences of the lamotrigine brand change and whether this is different for those taking the medicine to treat epilepsy compared to bipolar disorder.

AIM & HYPOTHESIS

The aim of this research is to understand patient’s perceptions and experiences following a change in the funded brand of lamotrigine. The study also aims to examine whether there are any differences in the experience of patients taking this medication to treat epilepsy compared to a mood disorder. Based on previous research, it is hypothesised that patients prescribed lamotrigine for a mood disorder will experience a greater nocebo effect, characterised by lower efficacy beliefs and greater side effect reporting, compared to those taking the medicine to treat epilepsy.

We also wish to examine the role of social media in influencing patient responses to the switch. We hypothesise that patients who have read about problems with the medication on social media will be more susceptible to the nocebo effect following the drug switch.

METHOD

The study will consist of a one-off anonymous internet-based survey. The survey will be hosted on the Pharmac website on the “Lamotrigine: my medicine has changed” webpage. Patients who navigate to this page will be able to click a link to complete a brief survey about their perception and experience with the change. To be eligible to complete the survey, respondents must be 16 years or age or older and prescribed Lamictal or Arrow-Lamotrigine before the brand change. The first page of the survey will comprise the participant
information sheet, which will outline the purpose of the study, that it’s being conducted by researchers at the University of Auckland, how anonymity is preserved and participant rights. Completing and submitting the survey will be taken as a participant’s informed consent to participant.

The survey will take approximately 20 minutes to complete and will assess a number of areas. Firstly, participants will be asked about their previous brand of lamotrigine (dose, indication, how long they have been taking it), and whether they have changed to the new brand. A section on information sources will assess how they found out about the change, how they would have liked to, how much they trust various information sources and whether they have been exposed to anything in the traditional or social media about the lamotrigine brand change. There are questions to gauge how effective participants think the old and new lamotrigine brand is, and any side effects experienced. Finally, there are general questions about a person’s experience and sensitivity to medicines and demographic information.

The results of the survey will provide information on how patients found out about the switch, their level of concern and trust in the sources of this information. The survey will also provide information on the role of social media in influencing transition to the new generic medication and how background factors relate to exposure to social media stories about the switch.

Study 2: Generic Switch Information Study
There is preliminary evidence that explaining the nocebo effect can reduce side effect reporting. Studies have shown that explaining the association between health problems and wind-turbine induced infrasound from a nocebo perceptive reduces side effect reporting compared to a biological explanation (Crichton & Petrie, 2015). Further, discussing the nocebo effect with patients before they receive a colonoscopy reduces subsequent side effects in those who are highly anxious (Burton et al., 2019). Recent evidence shows that media stories following a medicine switch can generate a widespread nocebo effect across patient groups and this may be attenuated by providing additional information about the nocebo effect (MacKrill, Gamble, Bean, Cundy, & Petrie, 2019). However, this has yet to be evaluated in an actual drug switch. In fact, there is no previous studies on the value of just notifying patients there will be a switch to their medication on side effect and adjustment to the new medication. There are two aims of this study: (1) to investigate the value of a text message notification on adjustment to a new medication compared to not getting any notification (2) to investigate whether a brief nocebo explanation before patients change lamotrigine brands will reduce subsequent side effect reporting compared to the group getting notification but no information on the nocebo response.
AIM & HYPOTHESIS

(1) To investigate whether providing a text message notification about an upcoming medication change reduces side effects and medication problems compared to not getting any notification.

(2) To investigate whether giving patients a brief explanation of the nocebo effect will reduce the reporting of side effects following a switch in lamotrigine brands. It is hypothesised that patients who receive additional nocebo information will report fewer side effects after the switch compared to a group who are just notified that their medicine brand is going to change and the control group who does not receive any additional information about a drug switch.

METHOD

Green Cross Health, a New Zealand provider of primary health care services, will be used to recruit participants. Patients in the Green Cross database who are taking either Lamictal or Arrow-Lamotrigine and have a mobile phone will be eligible to participate in the study. It is estimated that around 4,500 patients in the Green Cross database are prescribed lamotrigine, of which approximately 60% have a phone number listed in the Green Cross database. We anticipate that approximately 1,500-2,000 participants will agree to participate.

Prior to study enrolment, patients will be randomly assigned to one of three groups based on the last two digits of their NHI number. Patients allocated to the ‘notification only’ group and ‘notification plus nocebo explanation’ group will be sent a text message by Green Cross inviting them to take part in a study being conducted by researchers at the University of Auckland, which is looking at improving medicine switch information. The message will be sent two weeks before patients are going to pick up their first prescription of Logem and will contain a brief description of the study with a link to the complete Participant Information Sheet if they would like more information.

On receiving consent to participate, participants in these two groups will be sent their allocated text message. The notification only group will be informed that they have been changed to another brand of lamotrigine and when they pick up their next prescription, they will receive Logem. The intervention group will receive this message as well as a link to a brief information video explaining the nocebo effect and how this could be relevant to their upcoming switch by 1) becoming more aware of physical symptoms and 2) inappropriately misattributing them to the effects of a new medicine brand.
Three weeks after participants have changed lamotrigine brands, the two notification groups and a control group will receive a text message asking them to complete a brief online survey on their experience with the switch. The control group will be invited to complete a University of Auckland survey about their recent experience with Logem. The survey is the same for all three groups and will investigate the side effects they may have experienced and their perceived efficacy of Logem.

**IMPLICATIONS**

The purpose of this study is to examine whether nocebo responding can be reduced following a medicine brand change by simple notification or a nocebo explanation. If notification or a brief explanation of the nocebo effect are shown to have a positive effect on adjustment to the new medication, they could be incorporated into the activities that support future medication switches. The potential outcomes of this intervention could be quite significant for reducing the nocebo effect, particularly for patient groups where anxiety about the switch is likely to be increased. This could ultimately reduce healthcare expenditure, aid adherence and improve health outcomes.
REFERENCES


Faasse, K., Cundy, T., Gamble, G., & Petrie, K. J. (2013). The effect of an apparent change to a branded or generic medication on drug effectiveness and side effects. Psychosomatic Medicine, 75, 90-96.


MacKrill, K., & Petrie, K. J. (2018). What is associated with increased side effects and lower perceived efficacy following switching to a generic medicine? A New Zealand cross-sectional patient survey. BMJ Open, 8, e023667.


Kia ora Sonja,

As indicated by Keith, we are happy to extend the current grant (Psychological influences on the efficacy and side effects of generic medicines) to incorporate this further research. However, happy if you would prefer to have another grant rather than an extension if that would be better for your processes.

Are you happy to frame something up, or would you like us to do that from our end?

In principle we are happy with the costings as outlined below, but as it is outside my delegation, this will need to be approved by others within PHARMAC before it can fully be confirmed. I don’t foresee any major issues in that, but best to be nice and clear.

Ngā mihi,

Janet

Janet Mackay | Manager, Implementation Programmes

---

From: Keith Petrie <kt_petrie@auckland.ac.nz>
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2019 3:37 PM
To: Janet Mackay <j.mackay@pharmac.govt.nz>
Cc: Sonja Woodall
Subject: Patient survey and Intervention study Lamotrigine

Hi Janet - would you be able to send an email to Sonja indicating that you are (1) happy to extend the Psychological influences on the efficacy and side effects of generic medicines grant for a year to 30/9/20 and (2) also approve the budget attached. They have added some extra for ACC, holiday pay etc and $5k for dissemination on top of what I originally sent you as a draft. Total now $72,683.

Best wishes, Keith

---

Begin forwarded message:

From: Sonja Woodall
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2019 1:34:34 PM NZDT
To: Keith Petrie
Cc: Janet Mackay
Subject: Re: Rough Budget - Patient survey and Intervention study Lamotrigine

Hi Keith - thank you that is so helpful. I am guessing that are a contract for services.

I will complete the RO-90 and send this to you shortly. Still probably quicker and easier to do this than create a new project.

Sorry Janet’s email should be

Many thanks, Keith

---

From: Keith Petrie
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2019 1:46:09 PM NZDT
To: Sonja Woodall
Cc: Janet Mackay
Subject: Re: Rough Budget - Patient survey and Intervention study Lamotrigine

Hi Sonja - thank you that is so helpful. I am guessing that are a contract for services.

I will complete the RO-90 and send this to you shortly. Still probably quicker and easier to do this than create a new project.

Sorry Janet’s email should be

Many thanks, Keith

---
Hi Adam - it's all good from our end. Thanks for the feedback on the proposal. All the best, Keith
Hi Adam - it's all good from our end. Thanks for the feedback on the video. All the best, Keith

_________________________________
Keith J. Petrie
Professor of Health Psychology
Webpage and download
NEW publications
Twitter: KeithPetrie
Department of Psychological Medicine
University of Auckland, New Zealand
+64 9 923 6564

On 17/05/2019, at 1:05 PM, Adam McRae <adam.mcrae@pharmac.govt.nz> wrote:

Hi Keith
Just wanting to close the loop on the research proposal, we are all good from this end. Can you confirm you are happy with the proposal and I will let the team at UniServices know for invoicing.

By the way the little video is fantastic. Keen to investigate if we can repurpose it following the study.

Cheers
Adam

Adam McRae | Senior Implementation Lead
___________________________________________________________________
PHARMAC | PO Box 10 254 | Level 9, 40 Mercer Street, Wellington
DDI: +64 4 830 5297 | P: +64 4 460 4990 | F: +64 4 460 4995 | M: +64 21 960 622|www.pharmac.govt.nz
**********************************************************************
This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager.
This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept by MIMEsweeper for the presence of computer viruses.

www.clearswift.com
**********************************************************************

<PHARMAC Lamotrigine Studies Proposal University of Auckland.docx>
Hi Janet,

Here’s the link to the lamotrigine survey, it’s active and ready for responses. It’s anonymous however I’ve made it so it can only be answered once per person (unless people clear their cache or use a different computer).

https://auckland.au1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_eeMsviiUNYol3FP

Cheers,
Kate
Hi Keith

Just wanting to close the loop on the research proposal, we are all good from this end. Can you confirm you are happy with the proposal and I will let the team at UniServices know for invoicing.

By the way the little video is fantastic. Keen to investigate if we can repurpose it following the study.

Cheers

Adam
From: Keith Petrie
Sent: Thursday, 14 March 2019 9:45 AM
To: Janet Mackay
Subject: Rough Budget - Patient survey and Intervention study Lamotrigine

Hi Jane how does this look? I haven't including anything for my time but can make it work

Contract research assistance ($25 x 800 hours) = $20,000

2 summer students $12,000
Travel costs $2,000
Publishing costs $1,000

Total $65,000

Keith

Keith J. Petrie
Professor of Health Psychology

On 13/03/2019, at 10:59 AM, Janet Mackay wrote:

Thanks Keith, that's looking great.

We're happy with that as a summary of the proposal and look forward to continuing to work with you around the next steps for making this happen.

Ngā mihi,

Janet
Hi Janet - This is to follow on from our phone discussion yesterday. We propose two studies of the upcoming Lamotrigine generic switch:

**Study 1: Patient survey**

This would be accessed from a link on the Pharmac website and be an anonymous patients survey on patients experiences and perceptions around this switch. Respondents would complete questions on demographic background, perceived sensitivity, information on length of time on branded med and side effects from new medication. In a change from previous venlafaxine survey we would also include information on exposure to media stories (including social media) on switch, questions patients have about the switch and personal ideas or theories (if any) about why new medication may not be working as well and differences between the groups prescribed for mood disorder versus as an anticonvulsant.

Best wishes, Keith

---------------------------------

Keith J. Petrie
Professor of Health Psychology

Webpage and download NEW publications

Department of Psychological Medicine
University of Auckland, New Zealand
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Hi Jane how does this look? I haven't including anything for my time but can make it work.

Contract research assistance ($25 x 800 hours) = $20,000
Greencross subcontract for data extraction and sending texts and links $20,000
2 summer students $12,000
Travel costs $2,000
Publishing costs $11,000
Total $65,000

Keith
Hi Janet - This looks fine to me. Interesting to see how much there is.

All the best, Keith

On 17/01/2019, at 11:54 AM, Janet Mackay <janet.mackay@pharmac.govt.nz> wrote:

Hi Keith,

Happy New Year – hope the start of the year has been good for you.

Find attached a letter and key documents for your feedback/review. It would be great if you could get back to us by next Wednesday, 23 January, 2019.

Ngā mihi,

Janet

Janet Mackay | Manager, Implementation Programmes

PHARMAC | PO Box 10 254 | Level 9, 40 Mercer Street, Wellington
DDI: +64 4 460 4990 | P: +64 4 460 4995 | F: +64 4 460 4995 | M: +64 4 460 4995 | www.pharmac.govt.nz
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Hi Janet - This looks fine to me. Interesting to see how much there is.

All the best, Keith

On 17/01/2019, at 11:54 AM, Janet Mackay wrote:

Hi Keith,

Happy New Year – hope the start of the year has been good for you.

Find attached a letter and key documents for your feedback/review. It would be great if you could get back to us by next Wednesday, 23 January, 2019.

Ngā mihi,

Janet
Hi Keith,

Happy New Year – hope the start of the year has been good for you.

Find attached a letter and key documents for your feedback/review. It would be great if you could get back to us by next **Wednesday, 23 January, 2019**.

Ngā mihi,

Janet
17 January 2019

Keith Petrie
Auckland University
Via email: [Redacted]

Dear Keith,

PHARMAC has received two further OIA requests from Trudi Webber for information under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA).

One of the questions in the requests includes all communication between yourself and PHARMAC and between Kate MacKrill and PHARMAC.

The attached information appears to be in-scope of this question. We have marked (in red) the information with our proposed redactions. The information we propose to redact is personal contact information and information we believe to be out of scope.

In addition, we have included in the attached information the signed Research Funding Agreement between PHARMAC and the University of Auckland dated 23 May 2013, which includes the associated proposal. We would appreciate your view as to whether there would be any issues from your perspective if PHARMAC were to release the Agreement and proposal to the requester.

If you have any specific concerns about releasing this information, please let us know by Wednesday 23 January 2019.

If you are interested, you can find practice guidelines on the Official Information legislation on the following link:


Please note that we are only able to withhold information to the extent permissible under the OIA and other relevant laws and requirements.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions or require further information.

Yours sincerely,

Janet Mackay
Manager, Implementation Programmes